

Draft Decommissioning Program Plan
Comment Resolution
12/17/97

- 1 Purpose: Mutual understanding of remanded scope.
Establish schedule and process.
2. Scope:
 - Define scope -- Use the Rampe response (12/10/97) to the EPA/CDPHE letter (12/5/97)?
3. Define process, dispute resolution, and confirm membership for comment resolution subgroup.
4. Schedule
- 5 Outreach -- Working Group and RFCA Coordinators.
- 6 Time remaining: Select and resolve issues.
7. Schedule next meeting, review meeting actions.

To: Fred Gerdeman

Fred Gerdeman
12/16/97 12.39 PM

To Bill Fitch/AMEC/DMTP/rffo, John Rampe/amppl/rffo, John Whiting @ CCM, Patrick Ervin @ CCM, Jeffrey Ciocco @ CCM, Tim.Hunt @ DNFSB.gov, Dave Shelton @ CCM, William Prymak/AME/DMTP/rffo, aguiar.mark @ epamail.epa.gov, Steve.Tarlton @ State Co us, Rehder.Timothy @ EPAMail.EPA.GOV, graham.richard @ epamail.epa.gov, Bob Warther/SiteReps/rffo, John Chapin @ CCM

cc Duane Parsons/AMPA/DMTP/rffo, Debbie Weems/AME/DMTP/rffo, Briand Wu/OOM/rffo, Laura Brooks @ CCM, David Nickless/AMPA/DMTP/rffo, Matthew McCormick/AMMSD/DMTP/rffo, Gary Schuetz/AMMSD/DMTP/rffo, Shirley Olinger/amppl/rffo, Milton Haas/AME/DMTP/rffo, John Corsi @ CCM, Mariane Anderson/CED/rffo, Tom Lukow/AMMSD/DMTP/rffo, Steve Schiesswohl/amgo/rffo, John Morris/AMEC/DMTP/rffo, Steve Slaten/AMEC/DMTP/rffo, Briand Wu/OOM/rffo, Richard.Graham @ EPA gov

Subject Decommissioning Program Plan -- Working Group

As most of you know, John Rampe chaired a meeting last week to resolve the remaining issues (primarily issues of responsibility between DOE and the LRA and supporting RAs) on the DPP. Significant progress was made and consensus was reached at a conceptual level on all but one issue (The remaining issue is who owns responsibility for making the actual determination that a building is a type 1, 2, or 3.) Most of the issues were remanded to a small committee from the Facility Disposition Working Group to put together the actual language

I've set up a meeting from 12.30-2:30 pm, Wednesday, 12/17/97, in B/460, Rm. 122 to kick off this effort. Observers are welcome to attend. I'm suggesting the following as the meeting agenda

- 1 Review issues and refine scope (we need to stay in scope vs opening new or reopening old issues) We'll use the attachment as the guidance for this.
- 2 Check membership of the subcommittee to make sure the right people are involved
- 3 Determine how to keep the working group (as a whole) apprised of what's going on and get their input.
- 4 Decide on schedule and how to get resolution.
 - Think about how we can best resolve the issues in the most efficient manner -- 1) write the resolution as a group, 2) have me or someone write strawmen for each issue, 3) hold one intensive, full day meeting or multiple meetings, and so on.

The people currently on the subcommittee (DOE, CDPHE, EPA, K-H team) should read the attached document which formed the basis of last week's meeting and review your meeting notes, so we can refine the scope as quickly as possible.

- D&D FAX OF 12-10